

Global Credit Research - 03 Feb 2015

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Issuer Rating	Baa3
Senior Unsecured	Baa3
Parent: Iceland	
Country Ceiling: Fgn Currency Debt	Baa3/P-3
Country Ceiling: Fgn Currency Bank Deposits	Baa3/P-3

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Kristin Lindow/New York City	1.212.553.1653
Atsi Sheth/Singapore	65 6398.3727
Alastair Wilson/London	44.20.7772.5454
Yves Lemay/London	

Key Indicators

Iceland	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014F	2015F
Real GDP (% change)	1.1	-5.1	-2.9	2.1	1.1	3.5	2.3	3.0
Inflation (CPI, % change Dec/Dec)	18.1	7.5	2.5	5.3	4.2	4.1	0.8	1.5
Gen. Gov. Financial Balance/GDP (%)	-13.1	-9.7	-9.7	-5.6	-3.7	-1.0	1.4	0.7
Gen. Gov. Debt/GDP (%) [1]	72.1	92.5	100.8	114.0	100.4	93.1	89.0	88.4
Gen. Gov. Debt/Gen. Gov. Revenue (%)	170.1	237.6	254.8	284.0	240.4	215.6	187.5	190.4
Gen. Gov. Int. Pymt/Gen. Gov. Revenue (%)	7.3	15.7	12.5	11.4	12.1	11.2	7.0	7.2
Current Account Balance/GDP (%) [2]	-22.8	7.4	7.2	4.6	3.1	7.3	4.0	2.5
External Debt/CA Receipts (%) [3]	1,922.3	432.2	480.7	441.6	384.3	395.0	368.2	356.5
External Vulnerability Indicator [4][5]	2,039.5	1,327.6	109.1	145.5	46.3	78.8	123.6	68.1

[1] General government includes loans from the IMF and Norway that were extended directly to the central bank in 2009. The Norwegian loan and most of the IMF loan were repaid early. [2] From 2009 onwards excludes Deposit-Money Banks undergoing winding-up proceedings and Actavis [3] Current Account Receipts [4] (Short-Term External Debt + Currently Maturing Long-Term External Debt + Total Nonresident Deposits Over One Year) / Official Foreign Exchange Reserves [5] Excludes Total Nonresident Deposits Over One Year

Opinion

Credit Strengths

The credit strengths of Iceland include:

- Flexible and highly skilled labor force
- Natural resource base that underpins economic growth potential

- Tradition of consensus-based economic policy formation
- Strengthened institutions since the 2008 banking system collapse
- Fully-funded private pension system and favorable demographics, including long working lives

Credit Challenges

The credit challenges facing Iceland include:

- Achieving an appropriate sequencing of capital control liberalization to secure a sustainable economic growth pattern
- Further reducing the government and external debt ratios to allow public finances a larger buffer against potential shocks

Rating Rationale

Among Iceland's key credit strengths are its high levels of wealth and moderate economic dynamism thanks to favorable demographics and improving household, corporate and government balance sheets. Accumulated wealth provided an important buffer during the severe economic adjustment of the past several years. The recent economic recovery is on a more sustainable footing than was growth in the pre-crisis era given the rebalancing of the economy and large external surpluses, which are bringing down the country's sizeable external debt. The country's public finances have improved significantly, and the public debt burden - while still high - has started to decline from 2012 onwards. If the government's large cash buffers are taken into account, the public debt is at a moderate level in the European context. In addition and in contrast to many other highly-indebted European countries, Iceland has a fully funded private pension system, which together with favorable demographics bodes well for long-term fiscal sustainability.

According to our sovereign bond methodology, Iceland exhibits 'moderate' Economic Strength. Iceland's GDP per-capita is among the highest in the universe of Moody's-rated sovereigns, despite the significant loss in wealth due to the banking and currency crisis, with the five-year average at \$38,716 on a PPP basis as of 2013. This positions Iceland in the same territory as Aa-rated sovereigns (median per-capita GDP of \$35,492) and as a clear outlier in the Baa rating range (\$13,813). In addition to high income levels, Icelandic households possess substantial pension assets amounting to 145% of GDP (May 2014). This is not only positive for the long-term underlying fiscal position of the country, but has also allowed a smoothening of the adjustment process as households could temporarily withdraw money from their pension savings for debt repayment and consumption purposes during the crisis years. Offsetting the high level of wealth is the small size and limited diversification of the economy, which increase its vulnerability to shocks. The post-crisis recovery is under way and Iceland's short-term growth outlook is relatively favorable. Over the medium term, Iceland's growth prospects depend crucially on the outlook for investment, on which the speed with which the extensive capital controls will be abolished will have an important bearing.

We assess Iceland's Institutional Strength as 'very high', reflecting the country's strong scores on the World Bank's governance indicators. Iceland ranked at the 90th percentile of the World Bank's indicators of "Government Effectiveness" and the 92nd percentile of "Rule of Law", well above the Baa and A rating category medians, similar to the peer comparisons related to Economic Strength. Iceland benefits from clear competitive strengths in areas such as its high-quality education system, an innovative business sector, an efficient labor market and well-developed infrastructure. In addition, the authorities have made significant progress in bringing the economy, the financial system and the public finances back onto a sustainable path. The government has implemented important changes to its institutions and to the banking sector's regulatory framework so as to avoid a repetition of the crisis. Finally, Iceland has a long tradition of broad cooperation and consensus on economic matters between government, employer and employee associations, which is a credit strength.

We consider Iceland's Fiscal Strength to be 'moderate (-)', which reflects the substantial reduction in the debt burden over the last three years. A continuation of the downward trend in the debt burden in the coming years will depend on the ability of government to further strengthen the country's fiscal position and run consistent and substantial primary surpluses. In addition, the government's contingent liabilities are very large and mainly arise from its guarantee for Housing Financing Fund (HFF) liabilities. A mitigating factor is the significant financial assets that the government has acquired as part of the recapitalization of the "new" banking system. Moreover, unlike most other European countries, Iceland has very large and fully funded pension funds, bolstering the government's long-term fiscal sustainability and allowing the government to finance itself in the local capital markets at low

interest rates and relatively long maturities.

We assess Iceland's Susceptibility to Event Risk as 'moderate', mainly reflecting the risks to economic and financial stability emanating from the process of capital control liberalization. The timing of the lifting of the capital controls is on the horizon within the next year or so following the agreement on the Landsbanki bond restructuring and incremental progress on the settlement of the failed banks' estates. In our view, the banking system should be able to withstand the relaxation of capital controls, as the central bank and the banking regulator require the banks to maintain very high levels of liquidity and capital.

Rating Outlook

The outlook on the rating is stable, reflecting balanced risks. Iceland's key credit challenge is how to maintain macroeconomic and financial sector stability as and when the stringent capital controls in place since the crisis are lifted.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

Iceland's rating could be upgraded if the economic recovery were sustained, significant fiscal consolidation continued, and the exchange rate remained broadly stable during the process of capital control relaxation. While we expect the capital account liberalization process to be gradual, probably taking several years, the next steps have the potential to affect Iceland's sovereign rating. Hence, the rating would come under upward pressure if the key obstacles identified by the authorities can be resolved in an orderly fashion.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

Conversely, the rating could be downgraded if the government's commitment to fiscal consolidation showed signs of waning, thereby halting the declining trend in the public debt ratio. The rating could also be downgraded if our expectation of an orderly capital control liberalization fails to materialize, leading to large and/or sudden capital outflows and a severe weakening of the exchange rate, with negative consequences for the domestic economy and financial stability, or if other serious problems emerged in the banking sector.

Recent Developments

Iceland's January-September 2014 national accounts figures suggest much lower growth in 2014 than we originally expected: 0.5% on a year over year basis compared to the roughly 2.3% we are projecting for the full year. However, we believe growth was in fact not as weak as the data imply because of various anomalies in Iceland's statistical accounts. High frequency indicators reveal a more robust picture for 2014. In particular, various domestic demand indicators - such as imports of consumer and investment goods, auto sales, credit card turnover - suggest a more robust performance. As a consequence, we expect a relatively sizeable upward revision in yearly average growth when the fourth quarter data are released in early March.

As a net oil importer, Iceland's economy also benefits from positive terms of trade effects due to the collapse in oil prices, which will produce more noticeable effects in 2015-16. In addition, the appreciation of the dollar will provide a significant boost to export prices in kronur terms, since sales of Iceland's two main exports - fish and aluminum - are dollar-denominated. The current account is therefore likely to remain in surplus for at least the next two years. After coming in somewhat lower than was previously forecast in 2014, therefore, we expect growth to accelerate slightly to 3.0% by 2015.

Reforms to recover the health of the banking sector are ongoing. A recent landmark step in this process was the recent agreement to extend the maturity structure of the bond issued by Landsbanki (LBI hf) to cover the losses of Icesave, its failed venture into online deposit-taking in Europe. Announced in December, 2014, the bond restructure agreement allows the estate of LBI hf to remit payments (worth Kronur 400 billion or US\$ 3.2 billion) to priority creditors overseas, the payout of which goes a long way toward resolving the long-standing Icesave dispute. Moreover, as per the restructure, the repayment schedule end-date was extended from 2018 to 2026; the longer repayment schedule reduces 2015-2018 balance of payments pressures stemming from the shorter repayment timeline, which necessitated larger payments. Moreover, the combination of a smaller bank balance sheet (stemming from the repayment) and the bond extension reduces economic vulnerabilities in both the banking sector and overall, an important development in light of the eventual lifting of capital controls.

With respect to the liberalization of capital controls, we anticipate a decision on timing and sequencing will be made in the next several months.

Rating Factors

Iceland, Government of

Rating Factors	Sub-Factor Weighting	Indicator	Factor Score
Factor 1: Economic Strength			M
Growth Dynamics	50%		
Average Real GDP Growth (2009-18F)		1.1	
Volatility in Real GDP Growth (Standard Deviation, 2004-13)		4.7	
WEF Global Competitiveness Index (2013)		4.7	
Scale of the Economy	25%		
Nominal GDP (US\$ billion, 2013)		14.6	
National Income	25%		
GDP per Capita (PPP, US\$, 2013)		40,999.6	
Factor 2: Institutional Strength			VH
Institutional Framework and Effectiveness	75%		
World Bank Government Effectiveness Index (2012)		1.5	
World Bank Rule of Law Index (2012)		1.7	
World Bank Control of Corruption Index (2012)		1.9	
Policy Credibility and Effectiveness	25%		
Inflation Level (% , 2009-18F)		4.4	
Inflation Volatility (Standard Deviation, 2004-13)		3.4	
Economic Resiliency (F1xF2)			H
Factor 3: Fiscal Strength			M-
Debt Burden	50%		
General Government Debt/GDP (2013)		97.7	
General Government Debt/Revenues (2013)		217.7	
Debt Affordability	50%		
General Government Interest Payments/Revenue (2013)		11.8	
General Government Interest Payments/GDP (2013)		5.3	
Government Financial Strength (F1xF2xF3)			H-
Factor 4: Susceptibility to Event Risk	Max. Function		M
Political Risk			
World Bank Voice & Accountability Index (2012)		1.5	
Government Liquidity Risk			
Gross Borrowing Requirements/GDP		2.1	
Non-Resident Share of General Government Debt (%)		31.9	
Market-Implied Ratings		Ba2	
Banking Sector Risk			
Average Baseline Credit Assessment (BCA)		--	
Total Domestic Bank Assets/GDP		231.5	
Banking System Loan-to-Deposit Ratio		123.5	
External Vulnerability Risk			
(Current Account Balance + FDI Inflows)/GDP		8.6	
External Vulnerability Indicator (EVI)		77.7	
Net International Investment Position/GDP		-12.5	
Government Bond Rating Range (F1xF2xF3xF4)			A3 - Baa2
Assigned Foreign Currency Government Bond Rating			Baa3

Note: While the information used to determine the grid mapping is mainly historical, our ratings incorporate expectations around future metrics and risk developments

that may differ from the ones implied by the rating range. Thus, the rating process is deliberative and not mechanical, meaning that it depends on peer comparisons and should leave room for exceptional risk factors to be taken into account that may result in an assigned rating outside the indicative rating range.

For more information please see our Sovereign Bond Rating Methodology

Footnotes:(1) Rating Range: Factors 1, Economic Strength, and Factor 2, Institutional Strength, combine with equal weight into a construct we designate as Economic Resiliency or ER. An aggregation function then combines ER and Factor 3, Fiscal Strength (FS), following a non-linear pattern where FS has higher weight for countries with moderate ER and lower weight for countries with high or low ER. As a final step, Factor 4, a country's Susceptibility to Event Risk, is a constraint which can only lower the preliminary Government Financial Strength rating range as given by combining the first three factors.(2) 15 Ranking Categories: VH+, VH, VH-, H+, H, H-, M+, M, M-, L+, L, L-, VL+, VL, VL-(3) Indicator Value: If not explicitly stated otherwise, the indicator value corresponds to the latest data available.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on <http://www.moodys.com> for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.



© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATION") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.